Jun Dam (*Pro Se*) The Honorable Frederick P. Corbit 5432 Geary Blvd #535 Chapter 7 2 San Francisco, CA 94121 3 Phone: (415) 748-1113 Email: jundam@hotmail.com 4 5 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 8 9 In re: Case No. 18-03197-FPC 10 GIGA WATT INC., MOTION TO INTERVENE AND STAY PROCEEDINGS 11 Debtor 12 13 14 15 **COMES NOW**, Jun Dam ("Creditor"), by and through his pro se status, and respectfully moves 16 this Court pursuant to Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as incorporated into 17 bankruptcy proceedings by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7024, for leave to intervene in 18 the matter of the Trustee's First Omnibus Objection to Claims and to stay the proceedings 19 pending resolution of Creditor's claims. 20 21 I. BACKGROUND 22 1. Creditor's Claims: 23 Creditor holds a claim for 1,025,660 tokens with a filed Proof of Claim amounting 24 to \$5,391,720.37 (Claim No. 52, Filed on February 14, 2019). 25 Creditor's claims are identical in legal and factual substance to those of other token ii. 26

holders listed in the Trustee's omnibus motion to dismiss creditor claims.

2. Exclusion from the Omnibus Motion:

- Despite being the largest token holder creditor, Creditor was excluded from the Trustee's omnibus motion.
- Creditor believes this exclusion was deliberate, as Trustee's counsel may hold bias against Creditor.

3. Potential Prejudice:

- i. The dismissal of token-holder claims in the omnibus motion would set a legal precedent that would severely impair Creditor's ability to defend his claims.
- Such dismissal would also preclude Creditor from litigating identical issues and deprive him of procedural due process.

4. Creditor's Objections:

On October 22, 2024, Creditor filed an objection to the Trustee's First Omnibus
Objection to Claims and subsequently filed a joinder to the objection of Georgios
Lignos. These filings outlined key arguments that creditor claims were separate and
distinct from the class action and that the third-party release in the class action is
unenforceable.

II. LEGAL BASIS

1. Intervention of Right (Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a)):

- Interest in the Subject Matter: Creditor holds a direct and substantial interest in the proceedings, as the claims dismissed in the omnibus motion are identical to his own.
- Impairment of Rights: Dismissing token-holder claims would impair Creditor's ability to litigate his identical claims and would bind him to adverse legal precedent without being heard.
- Inadequate Representation: No other party can adequately represent Creditor's interests, as the listed token holders lack the same resources or standing.

Dated this 22nd day of November, 2024

Jun Dam (Pro Se)

3432 Geary Blvd #535 San Francisco, CA 94108

Phone: (415) 748-1113

Email: jundam@hotmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on 11/22/24 I electronically filed the foregoing **MOTION TO INTERVENE AND STAY PROCEEDINGS** with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all ECF participants.

Date Served: 11/22/2024

Sign your name

Print name: Jun Dam